# CORRECTED EXHIBIT 4

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AUTHENTICOM, INC.,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 17-CV-318-JDP

CDK GLOBAL, LLC and THE REYNOLDS AND REYNOLDS COMPANY, June 26, 2017

Madison, Wisconsin 9:03 a.m.

Defendants.

# STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF FIRST DAY OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING (MORNING SESSION)

HELD BEFORE CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES D. PETERSON

# APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Godfrey & Kahn S.C. BY: JENNIFER L. GREGOR One East Main Street, Suite 500 Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick, P.L.L.C. BY: MICHAEL N. NEMELKA AARON M. PANNER DAVID L. SCHWARZ

DEREK T. HO JOANNA T. ZHANG JOSHUA HAFENBRACK KEVIN J. MILLER

1615 M Street, N.W. Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

Jennifer L. Dobbratz, RMR, CRR, CRC U.S. District Court Federal Reporter United States District Court 120 North Henry Street, Rm. 410 Madison, Wisconsin 53703 (608) 261-5709

- 1 Never once. Does the user emulation software that Authenticom uses in 2 3 any way alter or copy the DMS software itself? It does not. 5 Ms. Miller talked about software being on the box, hostile software being on the box. Do you install any software on the 6 7 DMS system? 8 Never have and never would. 9 What is the advantage for dealers to automated approach of 10
  - data extraction instead of having to do it manually?

    A Dealers like to focus on selling and servicing cars.

    That's their core competency. Automating the process is just

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we eliminate errors.

like, you know, robotics on an assembly line. Essentially we do
what humans could do only much faster and more efficiently, and

- Q After you've -- so what do you do after Authenticom pulls the data? What does it do next?
  - A So again we akin that to the United Nations, and we've got all these different formats and business rules from the dealership, so what we do is we normalize it. We then put it into our database and we create -- we run -- actually before it goes into the database, we normalize components of it. For example, we validate that a dollar amount is actually a dollar amount, a phone number is a phone number, VIN numbers are VIN numbers, et cetera.

1 not. What about credit card information? 2 I don't even know that credit card information is stored on 3 the DMS. We do not access it if it was. 5 Q What about OEM information? 6 We do not have access to any OEM information. 7 What about defendants' intellectual property? 8 We do not have any access to the defendants' intellectual 9 property. 10 Ms. Miller, I believe, talked about different levels of 11 authorization --12 Exactly. Α -- that dealers have, that the dealership owner and IT 13 14 director have a certain level and regular employees have another 15 level. What level do dealers grant Authenticom? 16 Generally the only capabilities that we have is to, you 17 know, write or edit or receive reports. 18 What about levels of authorization permission though in 19 terms of accessing data. Do you have the same access that a 20 dealership owner has or --21 Absolutely not. Α 22 Q Or a regular employee? 23 Typically a regular employee, yes. 24 What about other examples of a user dealer's internal HR 25 records, payroll records, and financial records. Does

```
1
            That's correct.
 2
            You mentioned there are instances where dealers pay you.
       What is that?
 3
            Most notably would be the Lithia group.
 5
            What is the Lithia group?
       0
 6
            Third or fourth largest publicly traded dealership group in
 7
       North America.
 8
            And they pay you to use DealerVault?
 9
       Α
            They do.
10
                THE COURT: How do you spell Lithia?
11
                THE WITNESS: L-I-T-H-I-A. I'm the world's worst
12
       speller, so that's not quaranteed.
13
       BY MR. NEMELKA:
14
            Real quick, why does Lithia pay you for DealerVault?
15
            They're ecstatic about the control, transparency, reporting
16
       and audit capabilities that it gives them over the movement of
17
       their data.
18
            Okay. Now let's go to Authenticom pricing. What does
19
       Authenticom charge for data polling?
20
            So for a single file type, $25 per month. For two or more
21
       up to the seven file types that we provide, $50.
22
            Does Authenticom provide bidirectional access?
23
       Α
           We do.
24
                THE COURT: What does that mean?
25
       BY MR. NEMELKA:
```

-STEPHEN COTTRELL - DIRECT -

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

### FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AUTHENTICOM, INC.,

-vs-

Plaintiff,

Case No. 17-CV-318-JDP

CDK GLOBAL, LLC and THE REYNOLDS AND REYNOLDS COMPANY, June 27, 2017

Madison, Wisconsin 8:04 a.m.

Defendants.

# STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT OF SECOND DAY OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING (MORNING SESSION)

HELD BEFORE CHIEF U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE JAMES D. PETERSON

# APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Godfrey & Kahn S.C. BY: JENNIFER L. GREGOR One East Main Street, Suite 500 Madison, Wisconsin 53701

Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick, P.L.L.C. BY: MICHAEL N. NEMELKA

AARON M. PANNER

DAVID L. SCHWARZ

DEREK T. HO

JOANNA T. ZHANG

JOSHUA HAFENBRACK

KEVIN J. MILLER

1615 M Street, N.W.

Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20036

Jennifer L. Dobbratz, RMR, CRR, CRC U.S. District Court Federal Reporter United States District Court 120 North Henry Street, Rm. 410 Madison, Wisconsin 53703 (608) 261-5709

1 THE WITNESS: No, no, no. It's a flavor originally 2 designed for a fossil of an operating system called Pick that is 3 still running on their systems today. THE COURT: Okay. 5 THE WITNESS: And that's the query language. 6 THE COURT: Okay. I get it. 7 THE WITNESS: It's called English. 8 THE COURT: I got it. 9 BY MS. GREGOR: 10 How does the level of access that Authenticom has compare 11 to your access as the IT administrator for the dealership? 12 I have the keys to the kingdom. There's not a feature that 13 I can't run, full access to every single thing on every single 14 account. Authenticom has access to limited accounts and to a 15 single function, ENG, for the purpose of retrieving the data 16 that I need them to retrieve so that they can -- I like to call 17 it feeding the children. All the third-party vendors that need 18 the data, I call that feeding the children. So they gather the 19 data, normalize the data, check the addresses against the NCOA 20 database, and then send the feeds to a couple dozen third-party 21 vendors that I use. 22 Did you hear testimony yesterday about manual reporting? 23 I did hear that. I heard that quite a bit, and I have to 24 say I understand that CDK and Reynolds offer a way for me to do

that manually. Here is the thing about manual: Manual doesn't

25

work. Take the case of the open recall vendor that was here yesterday. It's 50 stores. He's got to find 50 people, one in each store, to manually run a report, grab the data, and then transmit it to Authenticom. These people can't have a day off. They can't make a mistake, can't have a vacation. It doesn't work unless you can automate the process. You have to be able to automate the process.

In the example of -- I'll give you an example. If I want to get every repair order created every single day and I want the data of all the repair orders that were opened on that day, I have to get that data after the service department closes so they're not creating any more repair orders but before the job stack runs and rolls all the closed ones into the history file. That has to be done between 8:00 and 10:00. You're not going to have an employee there between 8:00 and 10:00 to do that manually every single day, so manual has no value. If you're going to do data extractions, it has to be automated. The minute that CDK takes away the ability to automate these data extractions, they've taken away a valuable tool that I've had for almost 30 years.

- Q Can we have Plaintiff's Exhibit 152 on the screen, please? While we're pulling it up, Mr. Fitkin, did you submit a couple of declarations in this proceeding?
- 24 A I did.

Q And when was the last time you reviewed those?

```
1
       their frustration of dealer-initiated downloads or, in CDK's
       case, the price increase that we told them we were about to pass
 2
       through. So, yes, it's hurt us a lot.
 3
            Final topic, Mr. Andreu. Can we talk about your RCI
 5
       agreement with Reynolds? What limitations, if any, does
 6
       Reynolds place on Dominion's ability to tell dealers how much it
 7
       pays for integration?
 8
            It gags us completely. We can't tell them anything, so I'm
       expected to somehow pass through an $893 charge on an $1,152
10
       product without telling them why.
11
            Was Dominion ever accused of violating the price secrecy
12
       provisions in its contract?
13
       Α
            We were.
14
            What did Reynolds say?
15
            We put a line item on an invoice that said "integration
16
       fee," and at the time, by the way, that fee was $195 is what we
17
       passed through. I don't recall what the offsetting Reynolds
18
       charge was, but since it started at $247, you can bet $195
19
       wasn't all of it.
20
            What was Reynolds' response to your passing through --
21
       communicating the pass-through?
22
            They sent a nasty letter to the then-product manager of
23
       Sales Center, told us that we had -- defamation I think was on
```

They submitted -- they made us submit to an intimidating audit,

there. They said that we violated the secrecy requirement.

24

25

We

1 No. We've not been in the business of trying to get money off of the transactions. We're just trying to sell software. 2 In your experience are 3PA and RCI significantly better 3 integration services than SIS? 5 No, not particularly. I haven't found anything about them 6 that's any better or improved on the transactions that I would 7 get from SIS. 8 Are there any ways in which RCI and 3PA are more limited 9 than SIS? 10 Yeah. I did lose some functionality when I made the 11 transition to both of the different systems in different ways. 12 With the RCI system I lost the ability to make some of the 13 notifications that I do around parts, special order parts orders. I can no longer get the information indicating when I 14 15 can make those notifications through the RCI program. I also 16 lost the ability to do some pushback information, and with CDK 17 there was some things I lost the ability to do as well. 18 So why does AutoLoop pay these higher integration fees from 19 Reynolds and CDK? 20 It was a decision where we had to look at the things that 21 we were dealing with on the support basis and the constant loss of business and upset dealerships saying, "Hey, you guys, you 22 23 got to keep your system up. We can't keep running our business 24 with your software if your software doesn't work."

So at that point it was a decision. We're like, "Okay.

25

```
1
       have to keep the software running so we have to pay this cost."
 2
            If there wasn't the threat of blocking from the defendants,
 3
       would you rather use SIS?
            We would be glad to go back to SIS.
 5
            I want to move to --
 6
                THE COURT: Just -- I mean, the cost is so clear.
 7
       wouldn't you rather pay $79 instead of $735.
 8
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's exactly --
                THE COURT: That's the primary thing --
10
                THE WITNESS: That's the primary thing. The other
11
       thing we've run into from time to time with them is -- an
12
       example would be is when we go back to -- when I worked with
13
       SIS, if I needed additional information or I needed to develop a
14
       new product that I wanted to give to the dealerships because
15
       they've asked for a new functionality, I would go to them and
       say, "Hey, can you get this additional data?" They would work
16
17
       it out. They would come back and provide that data to me.
18
            I make those requests with CDK and Reynolds, and if it can
       be done, the time lines are between six months to a year with
19
20
       additional recertification fees and paying for recertifying and
21
       retesting. With SIS it was not difficult at all. So the
22
       business relationship has changed drastically.
23
                THE COURT: Thank you.
24
                MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
       BY MR. MILLER:
```

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

AUTHENTICOM, INC.

Plaintiff,

-vs-

Case No. 17-CV-318-JDP

CDK GLOBAL, INC., LLC and THE REYNOLDS and REYNOLDS COMPANY,

Madison, Wisconsin June 27, 2017 1:50 p.m.

Defendants.

STENOGRAPHIC TRANSCRIPT-SECOND DAY OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING AFTERNOON SESSION

HELD BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES D. PETERSON,

#### APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Godfrey & Kahn S.C.
BY: JENNIFER GREGOR
One East Main Street, Ste. 500
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Kellogg, Hansen, Todd, Figel & Frederick, PLLC

BY: MICHAEL NEMELKA
AARON PANNER
DAVID SCHWARZ

DEREK HO

JOSHUA HAFENBRACK

KEVIN MILLER JOHANNA ZHANG

1615 M Street, NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20036

Also present: Stephen Cottrell - Authenticom president Steve Robb - IT technician

Lynette Swenson RMR, CRR, CRC
U.S. District Court Federal Reporter
120 North Henry Street, Rm. 520
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Okay. Now, go down, and then it says "only if," and 2 then it lists three conditions. So CDK can pull the data 3 from the Reynolds DMS only if. Do you see the only if? Α Yes. 5 Let's go to the third. "CDK's access to the Reynolds DMS does not materially degrade or otherwise 6 materially adversely affect the operation of the 8 applicable Reynolds DMS or place Reynolds and/or a 9 Reynolds dealer at a material operational or security 10 risk - provided, however, that any such material adverse 11 risk -- effect or risk covered by this Section 4.6 must be demonstrated to CDK by Reynolds with clear and direct 12 evidence and that CDK shall be given a reasonable 13 opportunity to cure any such material adverse effect or 14 risk." Do you see that? 15 16 Α Yes. 17 Did Reynolds ever invoke this clause? Not that I can remember. 18 Α 19 Reynolds never even invoked this clause that the 20 thousands of usernames that CDK was using put any 21 material effect or risk on Reynolds' system; isn't that right? 22 23 During this period of time, because we had to 24 protect it, we didn't know what they were doing, so it 25 did not put a material impact on the system.